

EBP TOOLKIT

| CLINICAL AREA | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| □ Primary Care□ Outpatient Surgery | □ Telehealth□ Home Health | ☐ Specialty Care☐ School Nursing | | | □ ED □ Other: | | |
| TEAM MEMBERS/ROLES: Lead, clinical expert, EBP champion, mentor/consultant | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Name | | Title | | Role | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| PROBLEM | | | | | | | |
| Considering the followi | | | statement: | | | | |
| What is the local pro | | | | | | | |
| What is the current p | oractice in the tean | n's setting? What data | indicates th | nere is a prob | lem? | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Triggers | | | | | | | |
| How was the problem i | identified? | | | | | | |
| ☐ Knowledge trigger – | New evidence-ba | sed guideline, systema | atic review, | clinical study | | | |
| 0 00 | | , , | | Ţ | | | |
| ☐ Practice trigger – Sa | afety, risk manager | nent or quality issue (e | e.g., perform | nance on nurs | se sensitive or | | |
| patient experience indicator), variation in practice, financial concern | | | | | | | |
| STEP 1. Formulate the EBP Question | | | | | | | |
| Identify the relevant elements of the EBP question (note some components may not apply): | | | | | | | |
| Population | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 0-44: | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Topic (for broad questi | ions). or Intervent | ion (for intervention a | uestions) | | | | |
| • \ , | Topic (i.e. a. cas quocacino), or intervention (i.e. intervention quocacino) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outcomes (as needed | 1) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Record the EBP ques | tion below: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| If nooded ofter a pro! | minany avidance | coarch/requite reco | d an unde | tod or revies | d ERD question | | |
| If needed after a preliminary evidence search/results, record an updated or revised EBP question. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Stakeholders Specify role: Approval, resource, interested party, team member | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Name | · · · | sition | | Role | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| STEP 2. Search for Internal & External Evidence | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal Practice Data – What data indicates a problem exists in the local setting? | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| External Searc | ch Strategy | | | | | | | | |
| Search or MeS | H Terms: | | | | | | | | |
| Boolean Opera | tors: □ AND □ | OR □ NOT | | | | | | | |
| Limiters: □ English □ Research □ Year range □ Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Databases: □ | Databases: □ CINAHL □ PubMed □ Cochrane Library □ Joanna Briggs □ Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | ernal Evidence – C | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| Evidence Table | | | | ory or the best a | | | | | |
| Author/ | Docian/ | Sample/N | | Eindingo | | LOE/ | | | |
| Year | Design/ Methods | Sample/N | | Findings | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Quality | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Synthesis Tal | | proved or worsened | d (n< 05) or rem | ained same (n> | .05) or NA/ m | assured | | | |
| | | | , | | ,- | | | | |
| Author | LOE/Quality | Sample Size | Outcome 1 | Outcome 2 | Outcome 3 | Outcome 4 | | | |
| Jones et al. | I/A | 250 | Improved | N/A | Stayed | Worsened | | | |
| (2024) | | | | | same | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Strength of E | vidence - ©The Jo | ohns Hopkins Hosp | ital/ Johns Hopk | tins University S | chool of Nursir | l <u> </u> | | | |
| ☐ Strong compelling evidence, consistent results - Solid indication for practice change is indicated. | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Good and consistent evidence - Consider pilot of change or further investigation. | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Good but conflicting evidence - No indication for practice change; consider further investigation for new evidence or develop a research study. | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Little or no evidence - No indication for practice change, consider further investigation for new evidence, develop a | | | | | | | | | |
| research study or discontinue project. | | | | | | | | | |

| Best Evidence Recommendation | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| STEP 4. Apply Bes | t Evidence | | | | | |
| Identify key organizational context and cultural factors and readiness for the EBP change, including facilitators/ strengths and barriers/challenges: | | | | | | |
| Describe your EBP practice change to communicate your implementation plan in an organizing sentence: Example: We are organizing Who (constituency) to do What (measurable aim) by How (tactics) in order to Why (motivating vision) by When (timeline) | | | | | | |
| Identify Metrics – How will you know the change was an improvement? Specify metric (process & outcome), data source, data collection frequency, team member who will collect | | | | | | |
| Action Plan Details | 5 | | | | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 STEP 5. Evaluate 0 | Task Outcomes | | Responsible Person | Target Date | | |
| Process (e.g., adoption, protocol adherence): | | | | | | |
| Outcomes – Consider relevant patient, service and organizational (system) outcomes: • Patient outcomes (e.g., clinical, functional status, satisfaction) | | | | | | |
| • Service outcomes (e.g., patient-centeredness, efficiency, timeliness) | | | | | | |
| Organizational outcomes (e.g., cost, return on investment) | | | | | | |
| STEP 6. Disseminate Outcomes Specify plan to disseminate findings within and outside the organization. | | | | | | |
| Internal Audiences: | ···· | □ Leadership Me | | 5 | | |
| External Audiences: | □ Poster | □ Podium | □ Scholarly F | ☐ Scholarly Publication | | |